

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**  
8

9 Crispin Granados,

10 Petitioner,

11 v.

12 State of Arizona, et al.,

13 Respondents.  
14

No. CV-15-00492-TUC-RCC

**ORDER**

15 On August 6, 2019, Magistrate Judge Eric J. Markovich issued a Report and  
16 Recommendation (“R&R”) in which he recommended this Court dismiss Petitioner,  
17 Crispin Granados’, Amended Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus. Doc. 110. In the R&R,  
18 Judge Markovich notified the parties that they had fourteen (14) days from the date of the  
19 R&R to file any objections. No objections have been filed.


20 Where neither party objects to the magistrate judge’s R&R, this Court is not  
21 required to review the magistrate judge’s decision under any specified standard of review.  
22 *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). However, the statute for review of a magistrate  
23 judge’s recommendation “does not preclude further review by the district judge, *sua*  
24 *sponte*, or at the request of a party, under a *de novo* or any other standard.” *Thomas*, 474  
25 U.S. at 154.

26 The Court has reviewed and considered the Amended Petition for a Writ of Habeas  
27 Corpus (Doc. 110), the State of Arizona’s Response (Doc. 119), the additional attachments  
28 (Doc. 120), and Judge Markovich’s R&R (Doc. 133). The Court finds the R&R well-

1 reasoned and agrees with Judge Markovich's conclusions. **Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY**  
2 **ORDERED** that the R&R is **ADOPTED**. Petitioner's Amended Petition for a Writ of  
3 **Habeas Corpus (Doc. 110) is DENIED**. The Clerk of the Court shall docket  
4 accordingly and close the case file in this matter.

5 **Dated this 11th day of September, 2019.**

6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Raner C. Collins  
Senior United States District Judge